

APPROVED: Meeting No. 31-87

ATTEST:

Helen M. Heneghan

MAYOR AND COUNCIL

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

MEETING NO. 44-86

December 8, 1986

The Mayor and Council of Rockville, Maryland, convened in a work session in the City Manager's Conference Room, Rockville City Hall, Maryland at Vinson Street, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, December 8, 1986, at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT

Mayor Steven Van Grack

Councilman Steve Abrams

Councilman Jim Coyle

Councilman Peter Hartogensis

ABSENT

Councilman Doug Duncan
(due to illness)

The Mayor in the Chair.

The Mayor and Council convened in Work Session to discuss an accounting software system for the city. There being no further business to come before the Council in Work Session, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

Re: General Session

The Mayor and Council of Rockville, Maryland, convened in general session in the Council Chamber, Rockville City Hall, Maryland at Vinson Street, Rockville, Maryland, on Monday, December 8, 1986, at 8:09 p.m.

-2-

December 8, 1986

PRESENT

Mayor Steven Van Grack

Councilman Steve Abrams

Councilman Jim Coyle

Councilman Peter Hartogensis

ABSENT

Councilman Doug Duncan
(due to illness)

The Mayor in the Chair.

In attendance: City Manager Richard Robinson, City Clerk Carol Kachadoorian and City Attorney Paul Glasgow.

Re: Invocation

The invocation was given by Reverend Albert Moser of the United Methodist Church.

Mayor Van Grack recognized two Boy Scout Packs that were present at the meeting. The first is Pack 434, Den 5, from Olney, Maryland, and the second is Pack 1450 from College Gardens.

Re: City Manager's Report

City Manager Robinson reported the following:

As part of the City's continuing commitment to drug awareness and the prevention of substance abuse, staff from the departments of Police and Community Services are attending a week's training with students, parents, and faculty from Rockville High School. The training is sponsored by the Montgomery County School Board. Its goal is the development of an action plan that this coalition will use to combat substance abuse and will support students and parents seeking drug-free activities.

-3-

December 8, 1986

The City Manager provided invitations for each member of Council for a presentation of the plan to be held in Germantown on Friday, December 12, from 1 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.

Re: Mayor's Report

Mayor Van Grack reported the following:

1. The Montgomery College Football Team played in the Junior College Bowl. He gave credit to the team for this achievement.

2. The Historic Resources Management Plan was a fabulous document that provides an excellent history of the City of Rockville.

3. Marilyn Freeland has been elected chair of the Alternative Community Services Commission.

4. The National League of Cities' Annual Meeting was recently held in San Antonio, Texas. The Mayor reported on a number of items from that meeting. First, the basic theme of the conference was that America is about to lose the war on drugs. There is a need for more programs including greater federal responsibility. This theme ran throughout sessions of the conference. The second theme was strategic management. Mayor Van Grack noted that the City of Rockville currently uses strategic management and that it is a system used by cities in planning their futures. A third theme was entitled ganging up on crime. The Mayor noted that this theme showed programs that were successful in dealing with crime by using community efforts.

Councilman Hartogensis expressed the same reflections on the meeting. He reported that he represented the City on the FAIR Committee which deals with finance administration and internal relations. The Committee addresses general revenue sharing funding and other federal programs. Councilman Hartogensis .pa

December 8, 1986

noted that the City has a voided dependence on federal programs, and therefore, we will not be hurt financially by federal cuts in such programs.

Councilman Abrams shared his observations of the conference. He noted that for the first time the dialogue focused on more self-reliance by cities and states. He stated that Rockville has participated in programs that were non-reoccurring, and thus, has not become dependent upon federal funding. In addition, he advised the City Manager that there may be funding available for homeless shelters and that this program is particularly geared toward an innovative approach. Councilman Abrams also noted that the tenor of the conference was less confrontational than in past years. There was consensus on policy issues and alternative ways to fund projects, particularly with an eye towards public and private partnership. Finally, Councilman Abrams commented on how much the City has been doing that other cities are just starting to do.

Re: Presentations

1. Mayor Van Grack expressed his appreciation to the Kiwanis Club for their support in the City's Goblin Night Program. A plaque was presented to the Kiwanis for their efforts. Mayor Van Grack also recognized Jeff Williams of the City's Department of Recreation and Parks for his efforts in working on Goblin Night. John Tyner of the Kiwanis Club presented a check to the Mayor for \$250 towards the City's holiday fund.

2. Mayor Van Grack presented a certificate to Timothy Sonner for his achievements in the area of cross country. Timothy is Class A State Cross Country Champion.

3. Mayor Van Grack noted the plaque that the Rockville Housing Authority received from the Washington, D.C., field office of the Department of Housing and Urban Development in recognition of the Authority's outstanding sustained

-5-

December 8, 1986

performance during the past two years. Included in HUD's recognition of RHA is its conversion from a manual system to a computerized accounting system, the opening of a satellite housing information center in conjunction with the City and the County's Housing Opportunities Commission, and the settlement of a class action suit that resulted in some tenants receiving refunds and several changes implemented to the Housing Authority's rent collection policy. Phyllis Marcuccio of the RHA Board noted that the plaque is to the City of Rockville as well as to the Housing Authority and that RHA is very proud of having received it.

Re: Citizen's Forum

1. Arlene Simons, of a community housing group, introduced Reverend Moser of the Jerusalem/Mt. Pleasant Baptist Church and Maurice Jackson, chair of a non-profit housing corporation formed by the two churches to develop a vacant piece of property owned by the churches. Ms. Simons stated she is present to answer any questions the Mayor and Council may have on the request for \$5,000 in funding from the City. She stated that the non-profit housing corporation has made a presentation to the land use subcommittee of the neighborhood advisory committee of the West End Citizens Association and the NPAG for the West End. Mr. Jackson read a letter from the West End Citizens Association in support of the housing development. Other members of the non-profit housing corporation were introduced. Those present were Mrs. Rose Jackson, Patrick Woodward, Carol Cohen.

In response to questions from Councilman Hartogensis, Ms. Simons responded that the non-profit corporation has reviewed comments on the proposed project prepared by Doug Horne, Director of the Department of Community Development. In addition, she noted that the state of Maryland program that will be used to fund

December 8, 1986

this project is available now. However, once the deadline for funding has passed for this particular program, funding will not be available again for 12 months.

In response to a question from Councilman Coyle regarding looking at other alternatives, Ms. Simons noted that the non-profit corporation is looking at the purchase of two houses adjacent to the property that will double in size the amount of land available for the development. In addition, she stated that the corporation is looking at property across the street from the proposed development. She noted that it is the intent of the project to increase the number of available senior housing units in the City. This particular development was started because the church owns the property. However, she stated that other sites could be reviewed.

In response to questions from Councilman Abrams, Ms. Simons noted that there are no limitations on the use of the land only on the church itself. Mr. Jackson noted that the corporation will get an appraised value of the land as part of the feasibility study and that access to the site is to be off of Beall Avenue.

In response to several questions from Mayor Van Grack, Ms. Simons stated that a zoning change would be required in order to implement the proposed development and that this would not be the first time that a zoning change has been granted for a proposed development. In addition, she stated that the corporation would ask for a special exception for the site as it is less than 2 acres. Further, a text amendment would be filed for consideration based upon similar zones in other jurisdictions.

-7-

December 8, 1986

Finally, she noted that the economic feasibility on which the current zone is based would be altered in accordance with the request made for a text amendment.

2. David Goodman addressed the Mayor and Council regarding drug problems in the City.

3. Ardell Shirley, 605 Douglas Avenue, thanked the City's Traffic Engineer, Joe Cutro, for his help in solving some traffic problems at South Stonestreet and Park Road. She noted that the intersection will eventually need to be widened. In addition, Ms. Shirley referenced the recent Planning Commission meeting that considered a use permit for the school bus parking lot requested by Montgomery County. She stated that it was appalling that more congestion would be inflicted on the Lincoln Park community and that the citizen association was not contacted. Finally, she suggested that someone find out if the Montgomery County Schools are permitted to lease out the parking lot.

4. Sheila Marshall and Marie Susinno of New Mark Commons presented to the Mayor and Council a vision for the Town Center that included a cultural center. Councilman Abrams asked if the two women would be available to meet with the Planning Commission and City Staff to discuss these ideas. Councilman Coyle advised Ms. Marshall and Ms. Susinno that John Tyner is the chair of the Cultural Arts Commission and that this would be an appropriate place for the City to begin with a review of their vision.

5. William Myer, 804 Leverton, addressed the Mayor and Council regarding the Planning Commission meeting that considered the use permit for the Montgomery County School Bus parking lot. He stated that it was fortunate that the Lincoln Park community was present when the use permit was considered. In addition, he spoke on the request for funding for a feasibility study at the Jerusalem and

December 8, 1986

Mt. Pleasant Churches. He suggested that the use of public funds could be considered defacto zoning, and therefore, the Mayor and Council would be zoning while granting the funds.

In response to a question on this point from Councilman Coyle, City Attorney Paul Glasgow stated that changes in zoning would be required in order for the development to proceed and that granting the funds for the feasibility study would not prejudice the change in zoning request.

6. Bob Warrick, Peerless Rockville, presented 1987 Peerless Rockville calendars to the Mayor and Council. Councilman Abrams inquired as to what is being done for the Constitutional Bicentennial Celebration, and Mr. Warrick responded that the National League of Cities is seeking out municipalities to participate. He suggested that the City and Peerless Rockville should be involved.

7. Joe Zetts, 121 Ritchie Parkway, complimented the Planning Commission on its decision not to grant the use permit for additional parking on South Stonestreet.

Re: Appointments

Mayor Van Grack made the following appointments. They were confirmed by the Council:

Senior Citizens Commission: Silvio Imas - appointed - three-year term

Retirement Board: Charles Gassaway - appointed - two-year term
Union Representative from the
Parks Department

Quince Orchard Task Force: Fred Geldman - appointed - observer

Area 1, Area 2 Task Force: Ruth Litzenberger - appointed - City representative

Councilman Abrams noted that both appointees to the task forces will represent the City ably on their respective task forces.

-9-

December 8, 1986

Re: Introduction of the Art
Consultant

Mayor Steve Van Grack introduced the City's new Art in Public Places consultant, Francoise Yohalem. Councilman Hartogensis stated that Donald Thalacker is very ill, and thus, it was necessary for the City to hire a new consultant.

Re: Consent Agenda

On a motion from Councilman Hartogensis, duly seconded and unanimously passed, the following agenda items on the consent agenda were approved.

Re: Authorization to enter
into a contract with AMS
for Accounting Software

The Coopers and Lybrand Information Systems Strategic Plan recently received by the City recommended that the City procure the financial software licensed by American Management Systems (AMS). Inasmuch as American Management Systems has the exclusive right to market the packages listed below, a sole source procurement was approved to obtain prices from the company only.

American Management Systems has offered the City substantial discounts on its financial packages.

It is requested that at their December 8, 1986, meeting, the Mayor and Council authorize you to enter into a contract with AMS for the following packages because the prices quoted are good only until December 31, 1986.

-10-

December 8, 1986

MODULE	LIST	DISCOUNT	NET
LGFS Basic General Ledger	\$160,000	\$ 60,000	\$100,000
LGPS Basic Payroll	75,000	0	75,000
Extended Purchasing	47,500	24,000	23,500
Advanced Budget Preparation	30,000	15,000	15,000
Fixed Assets	25,000	12,500	12,500
Position Control	15,000	7,500	7,500
Ad-hoc Report Writer	45,000	15,000	30,000
PC Interface	3,500	3,500	0
Cost Allocation	30,000	20,000	10,000
Investment Management	17,500	17,500	0
Total	\$448,000	\$175,000	\$273,500

In the Fiscal Year 1987 budget, \$200,000 was budgeted for financial software procurement. The Budget Office has determined that the remaining \$73,500 in needed funding can be obtained by transferring amounts budgeted for computer software and hardware in other departments. The amounts remaining for computer software and hardware after the transfer will be sufficient to pay the first installment on a lease-purchase of the hardware and allied equipment needed to run the recommended package. The remaining installments will be requested in the Fiscal Year 1988 and subsequent budgets.

Re: Approval of Bid 33-87,
Purchase of Water Plant
Testing Equipment

This atomic absorption equipment is necessary so the plant can comply with the 1986 amendments to the Safe Drinking Water Act for monitoring and testing of contaminants. There are 25 such contaminants that we have to test for their presence in our water.

The Purchasing Division sent out 13 bid proposals. Eight responses came back. Four of the responses were no bids. The four conforming bids were:

1. Perkins-Elmer \$31,882.00
Rockville, MD
2. Applied Research Laboratories \$33,654.00
Sunland, CA

-11-

December 8, 1986

3. Shimadzu Scientific Instruments	\$38,230.00
Columbia, MD	
4. Varian Instrument Group	\$55,164.90 - #1
Sunnyvale, CA	\$59,152.90 - #2
Budgeted Amount	\$15,500.00*

*This budgeted amount was for an atomic absorption sepectrophotometer alone and pricing was obtained 10 months ago. Since then, there has been a price increase to \$18,260. In addition, the 1986 amendments require lower limits and a Graphite furnace is necessary to obtain test results in the lower ranges. Cost is \$8,390. A Mercury/Hydride system is needed to test for the presence of mercury. Cost is \$2,370. The exhaust hood and element test lamps are \$2,712. The exhaust hood is a safety must. There is a \$150 shipping charge. The total is \$31,882.

I recommend that we award the contract to Perkins-Elmer for supplying the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer and related test equipment for the low bid price of \$31,882. The testing is required. There is sufficient funds in the plant's machinery and equipment account to cover this purchase without preventing us from doing the activities we had planned for the rest of this year unless we have something unexpected occur.

Re: Extension of Contract for
Invitation for Bid 10-83,
Uniform Rental

The current 4-year contract for the rental of worker's uniforms expires on December 14, 1986. Final details of the recommendation for award of the new contract, and the subsequent installation by the new vendor, however, will require more time. Award of a new contract is an item of this agenda.

-12-

December 8, 1986

The company currently supplying the uniforms has agreed to continue to provide the uniforms at the same price for up to one year after the expiration date. The terms and conditions of the IFB provided for such an extension.

Authority is requested to extend the contract until February 20, 1987, or until installation under the new contract, whichever is earlier. The recommendation for award of the new contract should be before the Mayor and Council at their December 8, 1986, meeting.

Approval is granted to extend the current uniform contract with Coyne Textile Services for a period up to February 20, 1987, at a cost not to exceed \$8,650.

Re: Award of Bid 23-87,
Uniform Rental Contract

The current uniform rental contract expires on December 14. On another item of this agenda, your approval is requested to extend the current uniform rental contract until February 20, 1987, or until installation under this award is completed. Bids were solicited for a two-year contract, providing funds are approved for this purpose. The bid also has options to renew for two additional one-year periods, and other options as stated below. Invitations were mailed to twenty-one companies. Responses were received from two companies, one of which is the company currently under contract for this service, and the other a company which has been in the cleaning business for forty years but in the uniform rental business for slightly over one year. The responses were competitive and the low bid provides the service at slightly less than we currently pay.

The total bids for four years and all options are as follows:

Four-Year Rental With Options (no purchase option)

Crestwear Uniform Rental Service	\$147,108.40
Coyne Textile Services	\$157,488.96

-13-

December 8, 1986

Four-Year Rental with Options (4 Divisions purchase after two-year rental)

Crestwear Uniform Rental Service	\$125,439.00
Coyne Textile Services	\$140,012.52

The difference for the four involved Divisions to purchase the rental uniforms after two years of rental was determined to be insufficient to offset any possible uniform cleaning allowance which might be granted to employees, as well as offset funds which would be required for replacement uniforms. It is not recommended that this option be retained.

The references provided by Crestwear Uniform Rental Service were contacted by the Acting Contract Officer. Each of them (3) were asked to rate the company on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest ranking on 1.) overall satisfaction, 2.) garment condition after cleaning, 3.) timeliness of repairs, and replacements. The average rating was 5. Each of the companies had been with Crestwear for a year or less and all had fewer employees in uniforms than the City. However, two of the companies reported that more employees were signing up for the rental service and one company reported that a trainee accompanied their assigned Crestwear driver. This reinforces the perceived customer satisfaction, and indicates that the company is in an orderly expansion program. One of the companies called was able to comment favorably on Crestwear's credit.

The bid format required detailed pricing for each Division for each garment providing total prices for the basic two-year contract for each Division. These totals were carried over to year three and four giving us a clear picture of the cost for each Division both for each year cumulative.

Crestwear's bid contained mathematical errors which may be corrected in accordance with Chapter 5 Laws of Rockville, Section 2-201 (g) "Correction or Withdrawal of Bids". These errors were discovered in an audit of the bid

figures. The mistakes resulted in both upward and downward correction to the bid and all of them can be determined solely from the bid documents. A correction would not displace the apparent low bidder. Crestwear has been made aware of the errors in calculation, and addition and has verified that the errors were inadvertent. The mistakes resulted in a net downward correction of their bid of \$2,300.00. Since this downward correction does not displace the low bidder and since the company has acknowledged that mathematical errors were made and that they would require the stated correction, it is recommended that Crestwear's bid be accepted as corrected at \$147,108.40.

It is recommended that the contract be awarded to Crestwear Uniform Rental Service for the basic two-year contract at a cost of \$72,738.20 for the two years; that the option for two additional one-year extensions at a cost of \$36,369.10 for each year be retained; and that the option to provide uniforms to the two Stockroom Personnel be retained to be exercised if budget approval for this purpose is granted in FY88, such cost at the rate of \$408.00 per year not to exceed \$1,632.00 for a full four years.

For purposes of performance and payment bonds (or other collateral acceptable to the City), it is recommended that 100% of the bid be determined to be the bid for the basic two years and that such bonds may be reduced to 50% of their total to provide coverage for each of the remaining one-year extensions.

It is further recommended that the City Manager be granted the discretion to exercise these options to extend the contract. The bid contains the Appropriation of Funds clause which provides the Mayor and Council control through the budget process but granting this discretion to the City Manager would provide a simplified method to exercise the options.

-15-

December 8, 1986

In summary, it is recommended that Crestwear Uniform Rental Service's bid be corrected and accepted at \$147,108.40, that the contract be awarded to them, retaining the options to be exercised at the discretion of the City Manager, for total award of \$147,108.40 and that the duration of each segment of the contract be used for the purposes of determining 100% of the bid for performance and payment bond (or other collateral) purposes.

Re: Montgomery County
Detention Center Storm
Water Management Project
5B59, Maryland State
Highway Administration
Contract Award Concurrence

The Detention Center Pond will be constructed within an easement area previously acquired; located on Montgomery County property on Seven Locks Road. It is situated adjacent to I-270 and contiguous to the widening of that roadway.

On May 14, 1986, Public Works staff met with the I-270 Project Engineer to review plans and schedules for the first section of the I-270 (south of Rt. 28 to north of Montrose interchanges) reconstruction, which includes the area of the Detention Center pond. Because the physical construction of the two projects and the projected schedules overlapped, our pond contractor would have been working in the same area at the same time as the State contractor. This was not feasible and it would have been necessary to delay the City project because we were encroaching into and utilizing the MDSHA roadway embankment for our SWM dam.

As an alternative to delaying our project, the City proposed and the SHA agreed to incorporate our SWM work into their contract. This had the potential for major monetary benefits to both the City and State. The reason being, the State required earth borrow to construct their roadway embankment while the City's project is all excess excavation which, bid separately, would have been

December 8, 1986

hauled away to some distant disposal site at City cost. By combining the projects, the haul was avoided and site handling costs substantially reduced for the City. Other less significant savings were also possible. The proximity of this close earth borrow source for the State project also reduced their costs and as a consequence they agreed not to charge the City their customary overhead costs; another savings of 10.5%.

The State has obtained a bid through a competitive sealed bid process similar to that followed by the City. They were willing to separate quantities within their contract for ready identification of City obligations. They were also agreeable to a provision in their contract for bid rejection by the City if, for unforeseeable reasons, the prices were unacceptable. This approach to purchasing is permitted under the Laws of Rockville, "Chapter 5, Purchasing, Contracts and Disposition, Section 2-307, entitled Cooperative Procurement". The City Manager concurred and on May 22, 1986, directed the staff to proceed on this basis.

The SHA advertised and on October 28, 1986, received their competitive sealed bids. City staff estimated the project cost at \$500,000 if contracted separately under a City bid. The actual bid price under the SHA contract amounts to \$322,446.75, for a direct savings of \$177,553.25. Construction contingencies could add additional costs but this potential would also exist if bid separately by the City.

The MDSHA has also agreed to provide inspection services on a direct cost plus overhead basis.

Adequate funds are available in Fund 44 to cover the costs of the Detention Center project.

-17-

December 8, 1986

The combined approach for contracting for this construction has worked to the mutual benefit of both the City and SHA. Therefore, I would recommend we concur in the bid award and advise the MDSHA to proceed, including using the SHA forces for construction inspection.

Re: Discussion of Request for
funding for feasibility
study of Jerusalem - Mt.
Pleasant Senior Citizens
Housing Development

Councilman Hartogensis stated that his major concerns with the project are answered by Arlene Simons. In addition, he noted that the approval does not endorse a zoning change. He requested that in approving the funding the Mayor and Council attach a disclaimer for what must occur afterwards. In general, he views the project as an opportunity for the City to leverage its assets in providing housing for seniors.

Councilman Abrams stated he shares the feelings expressed by Councilman Hartogensis, however, he notes that the one difficulty is the size and location of the property. He suggests that he would be more comfortable if another site for the development is considered, noting that even with down zoning there would still be problems with setbacks, etc. He suggests that the Mayor and Council may be dealing with a rushed proposal to deal with a perceived one-time funding opportunity and that there is a need for more time to nurture the report. He suggests that the City play the role of a facilitator and look at other locations for the development. Alternatively, he suggests that the churches sell the property and buy another site where there may be a better shot at a successful development.

Councilman Coyle expressed some reservations although he notes there is a dire need for senior housing, citing the recommendation from the Department of

Community Development and Housing Assistance to scale down the project.

Councilman Coyle stated that he is pleased to see that a non-profit corporation had been established.

Doug Horne, the Director of the Department of Community Development and Housing Assistance, noted that it was not possible to ascertain whether Councilman Abram's suggestion of finding another location would be possible. He further notes that it is not possible to scale down the development and have a viable project.

In response to a question from Councilman Coyle regarding the limitations of the project as proposed, Arlene Simons stated that the non-profit corporation believes that the project is economically feasible. However, it depends upon the funding mechanism used. She further stated that all concerns expressed by the Mayor and Council are to be explored in the feasibility study. However, without the \$5,000 for the study, the non-profit corporation may not have the resources to do the project in a year. She further noted that the corporation does not currently own the land, the church does. However, it intends to turn the property over to the corporation at the appropriate time.

In response to a question from Councilman Coyle regarding her confidence that this site is the right one to study, Ms. Simons stated that there is a team of professionals working on the project all have donated their time and will continue to do so in analyzing other sites. In response to a question from Councilman Hartogensis, Ms. Simons stated that there is community support for the project from the West End Citizens Association, the NPAG, from Bernice Grossman at the Chamber of Commerce, and other neighborhood associations.

Councilman Abrams asked Ms. Simons for the names of other projects developed under the text amendment to which she referred, suggesting that he would like to

-19-

December 8, 1986

withhold a vote on the project until he has a chance to receive and review the list.

Mayor Van Grack expressed his support for a broader scope of study of the project. He stated that he strongly supports the concept, however, cited problems with the project as proposed. He noted that there are other options available for the development that would make the Mayor and Council feel more comfortable.

Due to Councilman Duncan's illness that evening, Councilman Hartogensis stated he would like to hear what Councilman Duncan has to say on the project. He further noted that community support for the project is a major asset. Councilman Coyle asked about the adjacent parcel for sale wondering if it would continue to be available for purchase. Ms. Simons responded that she did not know but that the corporation could not buy the property now as it has no earnest money.

It was the consensus of the Mayor and Council to wait until the next meeting to vote on the project.

Re: Approval of Minutes

At the request of Staff, Councilman Abrams moved that Item 8 be deferred until the next meeting. The vote was unanimous.

Re: Correspondence

The Mayor and Council noted the following items of correspondence:

1. Letter from Dr. & Mrs. Schwartz (with response) regarding leaf collection.

Councilman Coyle asked if anything could be done. City Manager Robinson noted that nothing additional could be done for the current season. However, the City expects to expand its leaf collection service next year.

December 8, 1986

2. Letter from Geraldine Miller (with response) regarding leaf collection.

3. Letter from Daniel Pewett (with response) regarding intersection of Ritchie Parkway and Fairwood Court.

Councilman Coyle noted that this problem came up a year ago and the Police Department was to do some traffic control. He inquired as to what the City had been doing. In response, Mr. Robinson stated that he would get the information to the Mayor and Council by the end of the week.

Re: For Your Information

The Mayor and Council noted the following items of information:

1. Rockville Senior Center December Newsletter
2. Historic Resources Management Plan

Councilman Hartogensis noted a report from staff regarding the cost to complete sidewalks in the City. He stated he was ready to spend \$6,000,000 for completing all sidewalks but asked what the cost would be if the completion project was limited to access to schools.

Re: New Business

1. Councilman Coyle asked the City Manager how the new truck ordinance is being enforced. He noticed that he had seen tractor trailers in his neighborhood and inquired as to whether the staff is giving warnings to or ticketing violators. City Manager Robinson stated that we are giving tickets but that we will remind citizens of the ordinance. In response to Mr. Coyle's question regarding flat bed trucks, City Manager Robinson noted that flat bed trucks do not meet the standard set in the ordinance.

2. Councilman Coyle asked about the Immigration Reform and Control Act. He noted that as a result of the Act there may be some concerns in communities regarding the impact of immigrants. He stated that there may be in excessive of

-21-

December 8, 1986

50,000 immigrants in Montgomery County and that there could be problems in areas such as housing. Councilman Coyle suggested that the City and County form a task force along with Community Ministries to discuss and identify how these new residents to the county can be helped. Councilman Abrams suggested a briefing from the Community Services staff on the current situation with immigrants in the City. City Manager Robinson responded that when an individual requested City services, the staff does not check the immigration status of that individual. Therefore, we have no way to provide the type of information that Councilman Abrams is requesting. He suggested that perhaps the County did keep information in this way.

3. Mayor Van Grack noted the item in the City Manager's various items memo from the week before regarding the remodeling at the Senior Center. It was the consensus of the Mayor and Council to proceed with the remodeling as described by the City Manager.

Re: Executive Session

On a motion from Councilman Abrams, duly seconded and unanimously passed, the Mayor and Council adjourned to Executive Session to confer with legal counsel.

Re: Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Council in executive session, the meeting adjourned at 10:02 p.m. to convene again in general session on December 15, 1986, at 8:00 p.m.